

College in Greensboro, NC. And in 1944 Mrs. Cave received her graduate degree in social work from Atlanta University.

For 50 years Natalie practiced social work in a variety of capacities, including a stint as a case worker at the Veterans Administration Hospital in Tuskegee, AL. She met her husband, Dr. Vernal Cave while working in Alabama. They subsequently transferred to Brooklyn, NY where they still reside.

Mrs. Cave holds numerous memberships in various professional organizations, including the Auxiliary of the National Medical Association, of which she is a former national president. Her other memberships include the Advisory Board of the Public Affairs Committee, the Brooklyn Chapter of Links, Inc., the YWCA, the NAACP, and the Kings County Medical Society Auxiliary. Additionally, she is an active archaousa of the Sigma Phi Pi Fraternity, and a trustee of the Brooklyn Botanic Garden.

Mrs. Cave has traveled extensively, including six countries in Africa, and a trip around the world.

In administering to the needs of our Nation's veterans and those of the society at large, this gracious and empathetic lady has contributed greatly to making this a better world. I am immensely proud of one of Brooklyn's best and dedicated citizens.

MESSINGER AND MESSAGE DO NOT MEET STANDARDS

HON. MIKE WARD

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. WARD. Mr. Speaker, every day when the House meets for morning hour, we begin with an invocation that is designed to acknowledge this country's belief in God and our dedication to our moral beliefs and to our duties that we are about to execute. I am afraid, however, that this morning's invocation did not adhere to this tradition. Instead of inspiration, we were greeted with a message and a messenger who does not meet the standards of this respected institution. The Reverend Lou Sheldon of the Traditional Values Coalition has consistently expressed a message that is exclusive rather than inclusive. With the challenges that face this body every day, I believe that the invocation should be a positive and uplifting message which cannot come from someone who has dedicated his life to a message of hate and divisiveness. I call on you, Mr. Speaker, to review the policies regarding guest chaplains and ensure that they adhere to the high standard that the U.S. House of Representatives deserves.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. XAVIER BECERRA

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, on November 1, 1995, I was unavoidably detained during rollcall vote No. 756, the vote on final passage on H.R. 1833, the so-called Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act of 1995.

As a member of the House Judiciary Committee, I voted against H.R. 1833 when it was heard in our committee earlier this year. Had I been present for yesterday's floor vote, I would have voted "no."

SEAL BEACH SAYS NO THANKS TO 1993 CRIME BILL

HON. DANA ROHRBACHER

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. ROHRBACHER. Mr. Speaker, during 1993 and 1994 Congress debated H.R. 3355 of the 103d Congress. Many of us believed that the amount of assistance that this bill was to provide to fight crime was being greatly oversold. None of the provisions were more oversold than the number of additional local police that would be paid for by the so-called "free" Federal money provided in the bill.

This was because there was a catch to the "free" money for additional police. The catch is that after 4 years the local community has to continue to pay the full cost of these "free" policemen or the citizens and towns would have to return the grant funds.

The Seal Beach, CA City Council in my district has taken a close look at what the real cost of this program will be to them in the out-years. After consideration they voted unanimously not to apply for this "free" assistance.

I am inserting at this point in the RECORD a copy of the minutes of the Seal Beach City Council meeting where they unanimously said, "No thanks."

GRANT APPLICATION—COPS AHEAD GRANT

The Interim City Manager reported that the City has been informed of a second round of the COPS Program, the City having previously received authorization for one Police Officer under the COPS FAST Program, this item simply authorization to submit the grant application for the second program.

The Manager expressed concern with the future ability to fund the officer if the application were approved, noting that the first three years would be of benefit to the City, the costs would be minimal in terms of cost benefit, however the City would assume all costs upon the fourth year, and if the grant is accepted the City must agree to pay its share of the total cost for the grant period as well as make a good faith effort to keep that position in the budget thereafter with an assurance to the Department of Justice that keeping that position will not eliminate another.

He pointed out that the officer obtained through the COPS FAST Program will cover the downtown/pier/beach area and it is understood that the City committed to retaining that officer at the end of the grant period. The Manager asked for direction from the Council as to the desire to file the application, if granted a determination can then be made as to whether or not to accept, or the application could be filed with a notation that the City may not accept for a period of time however that would likely jeopardize any approval.

Councilman Brown inquired if the officer acquired through the grant program could be retained as a replacement should another officer resign for one reason or another, or does the personnel contingent need to be maintained. The Manager responded that the requirement is not to keep the individual rather to keep the position, as an example, if

there are twenty patrol officers and a twenty-first is obtained through the grant, at the end of the three years the agency must make a good faith effort to keep the twenty-first position. Councilman Laszlo posed questions with regard to the City's costs relative to the grant officer(s).

The Manager advised that costs borne by the City under the first grant will be \$180,000 for the period of three years which includes salary, benefits, hard costs, there are other costs that are not included in the grant however they are relatively minor, in turn the grant pays \$75,000 of that, thus the cost over three years will be \$105,000, pointing out that \$35,000 was included in this year's budget for that officer with the assumption that the officer would be employed by the first of July, however, in actuality will not be employed until about September 22nd or 23rd.

As to a second officer should this application be approved the Manager once again expressed concern as to the source of funding after the three year grant period, and with regard to the first officer, the position will be part of the budget process next spring and should there be inadequate revenues the Council will need to make some priority choices. Councilman Laszlo expressed concern as a result of the County losses as well.

He offered that the City has good police officers however said they are the second lowest paid in the County, and expressed his opinion that this action could take money away from raises that they are deserving of. The Mayor said it is likely that if the City could not fund the position in the future the officer would probably be cut and the City would need to refund the grant.

Hastings moved, second by Forsythe, to not authorize the grant application for a second police officer under the COPS AHEAD Program.

PARTIAL-BIRTH ABORTION BAN ACT OF 1995

HON. RON PACKARD

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. PACKARD. Mr. Speaker, I applaud all my colleagues who voted yesterday to protect the lives of the most vulnerable of Americans—the unborn. The House stood up and said no to the radical left and their militant agenda in promoting this brutal and inhumane procedure.

Even though the American Medical Association took no official position on the bill, it was backed by the AMA's council on legislation who voted unanimously to recommend that the AMA board of trustees endorse the bill outlawing this grotesque procedure. Sadly, the bill was not supported by the radical pro-abortion movement who showed their true colors by calling the attempt to outlaw the procedure "extreme." Opposition to the bill is extremism. Physicians are trained to save lives, not take them in this abhorrent procedure.

Mr. Speaker, in passing the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act by a vote of 288 to 139, this House has declared to the whole world that this form of elective infanticide has no place in our society and it will not be tolerated.